

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/601,884	06/24/2003	Guillermo R. Villalobos	NC 84,352	5995	
26384 75	90 05/09/2005	EXAMINER			
NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY			XU, LING X		
ASSOCIATE C	COUNSEL (PATENTS)				
CODE 1008.2			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
4555 OVERLOOK AVENUE, S.W.			1775		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20375-5320		DATE MAILED: 05/09/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

า			
+	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/601,884	VILLALOBOS ET AL	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	···
	Ling X. Xu	1775	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
THE REPLY FILED 20 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP			C33
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or			ndonment of
this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply missing the control of the control	fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 CF	ce, which R 41.31; or (3)
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this</li> </ul>		in the final rejection, whi	cheverie later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 1		E FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extended and the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropria	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>20 April 2005</u>. A brief date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any rep <u>AMENDMENTS</u></li> </ol>	any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	7(e)), to avoid dismiss	al of the
<ul> <li>3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beauppeal; and/or</li> </ul>	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			•
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	illowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
<ul> <li>7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1, 4-5 and 19-21 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 6-18. </li> </ul>	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will byided below or appended.	II be entered and an e	kplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	nd sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeary and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	•
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ce because:

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

12. 
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Part of Paper No. 20050425

Examiner Art Unit: 1775

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

13. **☑** Other: <u>PTOL-324</u>.

See Continuation Sheet.

hig Ku

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The amendment filed on 4/20/2005 is not entered because it is not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121, see attached Notice of Noncompliant Amendment.

The decoration and argument filed on 4/20/2005 is insufficient to overcome the rejection set forth in the last Office action because application's decoration and argument are not commensurate in scope with the claims since the claims do not require the argued limitations. For example, claim 1 recites that the spinel's transparency is in excess of 50% for a thickness of 1mm and the decoration and argument filed on 4/20/2005 states that the claimed spinel has in-line transmission of 80% and total transmission of 70%.

Accordingly, it is the position of the examiner that the rejections are still appropriate and are maintained.

2

## Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/601,884	VILLALOBOS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Ling X. Xu	1775	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

The amendment document filed on <u>20 April 2005</u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required.

	uired.
THI	E FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT:  1. Amendments to the specification:  A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings.  B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined.  C. Other
	<ul> <li>2. Abstract:</li> <li>A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72.</li> <li>B. Other</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>3. Amendments to the drawings:         <ul> <li>A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required.</li> <li>C. Other</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>4. Amendments to the claims: <ul> <li>A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present.</li> <li>B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims)</li> <li>C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended).</li> <li>D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order.</li> <li>E. Other:</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
For http	r further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714 and the USPTO website at p://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/officeflyer.pdf.
TIN	ME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:
1.	Applicant is given <b>no new time period</b> if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the <b>entire corrected amendment</b> must be resubmitted within the time period set forth in the final Office action.
2.	Applicant is given one month, or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the

2. Applicant is given **one month**, or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the **corrected section** of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension

period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.

Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.

Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in:

**Abandonment** of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a *Quayle* action; or

Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental amendment.